PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference MSFTF-124288	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below			
International application No. PCT/US2005/008111	International filing date (day/month/year) 11 March 2005 (11.03.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 12 March 2004 (12.03.2004)			
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237					
Applicant MICROSOFT CORPORATION					

1.	. This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis. 1(a).						
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.						
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.						
3.	3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:						
	Box No. I	Basis of the report					
	Вох №. П	Priority					
	Box No. ΠΙ	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention					
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited					
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application					
·	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on t	he international application				
4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).							
·			Date of issuance of this report 13 September 2006 (13.09.2006)				
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes		ombettes	Authorized officer Athina Nickitas-Etienne				
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70		/NZCHANU	e-mail: pt04@wipo.int				
Corn D	PCT/IR/373 (January 2004)						

Form PC17IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: REC'D 17 JUL 2006 TRACY S. POWELL CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS PLLC 1420 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 2800 PCT WRITTEN OPINIOW OF THE SEATTLE, WA 98101 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing 2006 (day/month/year) FOR FURTHER ACTION Applicant's or agent's file reference See paragraph 2 below MSFTF-124288 Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. 12 March 2004 (12.03.2004) 11 March 2005 (11.03.2005) PCT/US05/08111 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): G06F 15/173, 9/44 and US Cl.: 709/223; 717/171, 172, 173 **Applicant** Microsoft Corporation 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II **Priority** Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Lack of unity of invention Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis. 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII Certain observations on the international application Box No. VIII 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Authorized officer Muhelle R. Earn Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Gien Burgess Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Telephone No. 703-305-3900

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US05/08111

Box No. I Basis of this opinion
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a. type of material
a sequence listing
table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. format of material
in written format
in computer readable form
c. time of filing/furnishing
contained in international application as filed.
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US05/08111

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

~\$

ent		1100
Novelty (N)	Claims NONE Claims 1-18	YES
Inventive step (IS)	Claims NONE Claims 1-18	YES
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-18	YES NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-18 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by anticipated by East et al. (U.S. Patent Publication Number 2003/0061323; hereinaster East).

With regard to claims 1-18, East disclosed a an update service node having an application programming interface for administering the distribution of software updates on the update service node (refer to Figures 5-13), the application programming interface comprising: an update store for storing software updates; an update web service through which the update service node obtains software updates from a parent update service node over a communication network, and through which the update service node distributes software updates to the childe update service nodes over the communication network; an administration application programming interface (API) through which an administrator establish controls the distribution of software updates to child update service nodes (administration servers) and client computers (thin clients), wherein the administration API is an object exposing a plurality of interface call through which the administrator establishes said rules (Figures 5-13 and paragraphs 8 and 9). For specific interface calls refer to figures 5-13 and the respective disclosure with regard to each figure.

Claims 1-18 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.